

## 5. The harm done by “MAPs” who decide to act, is a vicious plague on humanity

The present harmfulness (or not) of voluntary physical/sexual relations between Adults (not necessarily MAPs) and Minors is a controversial topic in academia. Harm depends a great deal on how an individual views his/her experiences in hindsight, in his/her unique social context. Bruce Rind’s 1998 Meta Analysis is one example of *good news* regarding outcomes – we encourage you to read up on it.

Removing harmful stigmas is obviously a noble cause, regardless of *ethical* outlook on these relationships. **Newgon.net** does, however, advocate for MAP and Minor celibacy in the present climate.

Generally, there is far more diversity of opinion on Age of Consent Laws and their reform, than the corporate and state media are willing to let on. **Newgon.net** advocates for a change in the law, whereby the Age of Consent will be maintained at 16/18, while *voluntary* relations, both with and among minors as *young as 12* will not be prosecuted (similar to the pre-2002 Dutch Law). “Voluntary” will be decided by those who matter, i.e. both of the participants. The new law would allow for studies to be conducted throughout the population, and future policy decisions would be informed by the results.

## 6. “NOMAP” (Non-Offending MAP) is a fake classification, and an attempt to normalise pedophilic degeneracy

This is just an absurd claim. Consider what motive an individual might have to identify as a person with an attraction to minors, while condemning unequivocally, the acting out on his or her desires.

Minors can readily be found on dating/social sites, and Child/Children’s porn is circulated freely on the dark web; these are the clear, and well-documented online and offline options for those who seek to offend.

What we should really be asking, is *why* society is forcing MAPs to identify as non-offending. The burden of proof has wrongly been placed on the MAP. Indeed, a male homosexual is not duty bound to clarify that he does not assault or rape other men. Such an idea would be seen as highly offensive to Gay Men and rightly condemned as homophobic.

### To sum up

It is highly likely that MAPs will become more visible in the media in the coming years, as corporate and political institutions seek to use them as pawns in identity politics. The intention, as always, is to distract the masses away from the elites own failings and wrongdoings, leaving them divided. We encourage all readers to become more aware of MAP issues, and to self-educate using a variety of resources, regardless of whether or not you would consider yourself a natural ally.

### Sources

All of the facts stated in this pamphlet are backed up by reliable sources and consensus historical accounts. You can find research, historical and even personal testimony anthologies at **Newgon.net** and a variety of other online resources which tackle the subject from differing perspectives:

*Greek Love (research)* – [Greek-Love.com](http://Greek-Love.com)  
*Ipce (research)* – [Ipce.info](http://Ipce.info)  
*Virtuous Pedophiles (NOMAP)* – [Virped.org](http://Virped.org)  
*Prostasia Fdn. (NOMAP)* – [Prostasia.org](http://Prostasia.org)  
*Free Spirits (support)* – [Freespirits.info](http://Freespirits.info)  
*Girl Chat (support)* – [Annabelleigh.net](http://Annabelleigh.net)

Find copies of this pamphlet for distribution at:



# “Minor-Attracted People” (MAPs)

## Just the facts

### An informational pamphlet addressing common misunderstandings



(Famous MAPs throughout history)

Oscar Wilde / Michael Jackson / Mary Letourneau

You may have heard the term “**Minor-Attracted Person**” (MAP) in the mainstream media, or repeated on social media. You might have heard MAPs colloquially and incorrectly referred to as p(a)edophiles, and the context will have most likely been negative. For most of human history, however, these people have been part of society, living freely among us.

Inside this pamphlet, you will read about **common myths** surrounding MAPs, and how to refute them with basic facts and figures contained within the **Newgon.net** website and elsewhere.

## 1. “MAP” is a “euphemism”, coined out of thin air to legitimize indefensible desires

This is rated “partially incorrect”. In reality, the term “MAP” goes back at least to 2001, when it was first coined on bulletin boards where MAPs congregated (seen in Wayback Machine). Then in 2006/7, it was picked up and popularized within the community by a number of blogs/information campaigns (chiefly Newgon.net’s predecessor, and then B4U-Act). By 2018, the term had gained media coverage, seeing frequent use on social media and in academia.

The first aim was to remove the negative *stigma* attached to Minor Attracted People – as “predatory individuals”, bound to “act on their desires, uncontrollably and violently”. “MAP” merely describes their situation in objective and value-neutral terms.

### 1a) But “MAPs” are basically pedophiles. A new term is just cynically laundering that reality

Well, that’s clearly false. Pedophilia is defined by the APA, Governments and even the man who coined the term, as a physical attraction to *prepubescent children*. The online communities where this term first gained traction are composed of the following groups, or “chronophilias” (age preferences) for legal minors:

1. *Nepiophilia* – attracted to infants
2. *Pedophilia* – attracted to prepubescents <11
3. *Hebephilia* – attracted to pubescents 11-14
4. *Ephephilia* – attracted to mature teens 15+

MAPs may be anything on a “Kinsey Scale” from androphiles (Boy Lovers) through to gynephiles (Girl Lovers), straight or queer, depending on gender.

In addition to this, studies on men indicate that a clear majority of them are minor attracted to some degree. A vast majority are sexually responsive to late pubertal stimuli – confirming historical accounts. In other words, today’s social norms can not override human nature.

## 2. “MAP Pride” and “MAP Positivity” is really an attempt to enter the LGBTQ+ community

This is again, false. Gay MAPs had long been part of the Gay community. Many early pioneers such as Harry Hay and Allen Ginsberg were also open MAPs (identifying Boy-lovers), and it took until the mid 90s for Pedophile/Boy-lover organizations to be excluded from Gay/Civil Rights umbrella orgs such as ILGA. This was for reasons of political expediency, with feminist lesbians and inauthentic gay assimilation advocates leading the charge.

While MAPs and LGBTQ+ *no longer* march together in Pride, there is a projection of *fear* that predominates among today’s LGBTQ+. The rise of MAPs poses new publicity risks to them, when combined with conservative media coverage. In reality, most MAPs old enough to remember, feel betrayed by the Pride movement, and it would take a lot of healing for them to feel at home in the community once again.

### 3. Whatever they call themselves, most “MAPs” act out on their feelings – that’s why we hear so much about them

There is no solid evidence that an attraction *even increases* the risk of contact offending. Remember just how common Minor Attraction is. Around 20% of all men respond well to Pedophilic stimuli in phallometric (penis measurement) studies and self-report surveys. It is clear from crime surveys, that nowhere near this many are offending. It is also clear that a strikingly *similar*, approximate 20% minority of people involved in contact offending with children are actually Pedophiles. In fact, the majority of offenders are “situational” opportunists with no physical or romantic *preference* for children, choosing to act for other reasons. Proximity/convenience, for example.

Remember, in today’s society, self-identification as an MAP is a *political act* – likely born of frustration or a sense of injustice, not a will to harm others. Most are anonymous online personas – rightly nervous about their own security.

## 3a. “MAP” attractions are always associated with other pathologies

Concerning true Pedophiles (at the lower end of the MAP age range), studies have found that gentle, voluntary touching is seen as the erotic ideal. In addition to this, there is no credible scientific link between past sexual abuse and Pedophilia – refuting the “abused-abuser” hypothesis.

### 4. “MAPs” are a novel perversion born of liberal degeneracy. They have never been all that common, or accepted by society

As we have established, MAPs quietly live among us – a massive sleeping giant of a movement, far more common than transsexuals for example.

This is backed up by historical accounts. While the institution of pederasty in Ancient Greece, and young wives throughout the (particularly Islamic) world are well known, The Turks were also remembered for sparing and loving the most attractive teen boys of the peoples they conquered. Ethnographic studies have documented normalized inter-generational relationships throughout the non-western world, ranging from innocuous touching/oral sex to pacify infants, to unique codes of role-adoption among young boys transitioning to manhood.

Until the 1980s, even the Christian West and Europe had formally trained “pleasure boys” age 8-16, working out of coffee shops, stations and brothels. The scenes were said to be huge in some cities, attracting missionaries, politicians, and other well heeled men from all over the world. The most high-class of the Parisian and other European boys were well looked after, well groomed and wore the highest of fashions.

This took place *alongside, and in spite of* conservative Christian dictates on homosexuality, and only faded away after the “international community’s” insistence upon progressive-feminist “victimological” philosophies and gay liberation. Massive printing of unbacked fiat currencies (debt), also welfarized youth, reducing the number of street kids. It is possible that an economic collapse might herald the return of youth prostitution, and maybe its regulation!